**Police Task Force Meeting Notes**

11/16

Cynthia Kaufman: (out today) spoke to folks in Santa Clara regarding their security model; she will present next time.

-**Next meeting: Nov 30th**

Historical Overview:

-Tasks force grew out of concerns of complains of interactions with De Anza Police

-We want a better model; how do we get there?

-Element of restorative justice where complain process has external elements

-Da Anza PD is a district police so: complains will be going to the chancellor, board of trustees

(Foothill College may have different experiences)

-Chief of Police (Ron) & Assistant are located at De Anza

-Police are not represented in this meeting: we will consider inviting them soon

Jim Suits –comm. Policing

-Explanation of Community Policing at a Community College (see handout)

-Recommendations: consider the context of the campus –open campus, no control over people here; armed people have been arrested and folks with mental issues that have posed a threat to people on campus so, crime control when is appropriate. -However changed need be made towards community service oriented, integrated members in partnership with the community.

-Individual police officers need to make some changes perhaps through introspection where interaction with the community is concerned

-They need to be out in the community instead of just responding to calls

Comments:

-Decision was made as a task force to wait to invite officers to meetings & to have

Police Accountability Project (student group) collaborating but working independently from PTF

-Danny Acosta to be considered as a possible guest to future meetings; however chief may be opposed to invitation and possibly friction may arise

 -Offices may represent a threat to safety for students present or prevent them from participating in dialogue

-Perhaps next meeting we can decide what the meeting with officers may look like

-As a strategy we may invite him sooner

-Will they be there consistently or as a one time?

-Perhaps change the name of the group?

-The goals will be reconsidered

Jim Nguyen –Danny A

-Asked about complain process and disciplinary action

-Citizens complain form goes to Danny: he will try to decide what the offence entails; if it is criminal or administrative and then verify facts etc. If criminal refers to DA, otherwise handled internally

-CA had the strongest protections for officers

-The history of officers & the severity of complain is evaluated to consider level of discipline, trainings, reprimands, suspense, termination etc.

-Lack of transparency in the process of complains, it is not public information

-No gild process, it ends with Danny; board can overturn disciplinary decision

-Body cameras: were approved and will be implemented soon

-Review boards? May be more lenient on complains

Comments/suggestions:

-PD where not invited yet because we had not identified the issue but wanted to include officers in the conversation of solutions

-Maybe we come up with suggested solutions to be presented to officers when we invite them

-Or: invite them to join the ongoing conversation; research meeting or active participants.

-Become purposeful or developed a structures prior to invitation

Vote to invite officer: -Alondra: no, Jim S: yes, Evelyn: yes, Kenny: no, Diana: no, Anisa: no, Angelica: no, Jim N: yes, Justine: no, Terry: ?, Cynthia: ?

-Develop questions and suggestions for Danny and consider that he may join all future meetings

-Address the issue of one person dominating the meeting and aim to become more productive

-As a group decision we will not invite Danny for next time

-Develop community agreements

Agenda for: next time

-Community Agreements
-PAP: Results of survey and report back on what other communities are doing to address similar issues in their community

-Bob Stockwell –record