

DRAFT

De Anza College Educational Master Planning Committee Minutes Wednesday, February 10, 2010

Attending: Jenkins, Kramer, LaManque, Lee, Murphy, Stockwell

1. Review Minutes from the last meeting

The minutes of the January 27, 2010 meeting were approved.

2. Review any draft sections completed

The group reviewed Stockwell's first draft of 4.C - Other Planning documents – which he gathered in conversations with Cellabos, Chenoweth and Kubo regarding sustainability, technology and equity. There was a question regarding whether the Diversity and Equity plans were separate – this was not resolved. Discussion also centered around how much of the plans should be incorporated and the dynamic nature of the planning process – each group using the Educational Master Plan to update the other planning documents. Even though some of the other plans are a couple years old and may be in the process of revision, it was agreed that we would summarize the plans as they stood today.

Discussion also included the Introduction/Context/ and Commitment sections. Regarding the “commitment to learning,” Murphy reiterated his thought that our GE and ICC's included the idea of liberal learning that incorporates a commitment to: sustainability, social justice, a culture of equity and diversity, and the political skill and capacity to fight for one's beliefs. This idea allows staff as well as faculty to be seen as educators, doing work that fulfills the mission. For example, the idea that facilities are more than buildings but part of the “learning space” and therefore the staff of Building and Grounds plays a critical role in maintaining a learning environment.

Kramer suggested re-ordering the outline: after the Introduction, then Mission, then Context, then Commitments, and then the strategic planning process. etc. The idea being that the commitments sustain the mission.

Discussion regarding metrics – we do have metrics for the strategic initiatives – will need to take a look at adding some, for example, ones on civic engagement and equity.

Kramer raised the issue of the capturing the timing of the planning activities in the draft. It was agreed that we would be explicit: *“Murphy - we did these things and then we did these things....”*

Spatafore emphasized the importance of including information about the budget process and PBTs under either 4. b. 1. Components or 4. c. Other Planning.

4. Review Timeline

Those available are invited to meet on February 26 to review the various drafts, with everyone having as much as possible done by February 26th. We'll need to share the draft of the entire document with the various shared governance groups in March.