Annual Program Review Update Form - Spring 2010

| I. General Information | Date: <mark>4-Jun-10</mark> |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Program/Department: | History |
| Authors of Report: | Margaret Stevens |


II. Status Since Previous Program Review

What significant changes have occured since the last complete program review? Were those changes based on SLO assessments? How have these changes affected your program? You may also address how these changes affect the following: strategic initialtives, "main areas for improvement", mission statements, or physical/organizational restructuring.



III. SLO Information

Percent



assessment reflection and enhancement phase.

SLOAC Discussion and Analysis: Summarize the discussions and analyses of your program/departments' SLOAC results. The discussions and analyses need not be limited to the information shown in Sections I and II above.

0%

0%

0%

0%

I essentially worked alone with assessments in this department. I produced a matrix from a rubrix that another faculty member gave me. I evaluated essay questions within the context of historical analysis and factual content and gave students grades based on how well they meet the critria. I found I needed to fine tune the questions and make them more specific which I did in Spring quarter and was able to give students better feed back as they too got the matrix and see how well their answer meet the criteria and their grade (50 points maximum) reflected how well they acheived the criteria.

Suggestions for the SLOAC Discussion & Analysis:

Detailed data supporting some or all of the statistics shown above.

Patterns that emerge or are confirmed when SLO data are viewed, either alone or in combination with other data (such as student ESL placement test results) at the program level.

What your goals were for any of the percentages above, and whether you achieved that goal.

Evidence of value derived from the SLOAC process within your program.

Some of the challenges your faculty continue to face in attempting to hit your program goals with respect to SLOs.

If enhancements/improvements to your program can be implemented within the division's currently existing structures and allocated resources, then consider this update form complete and submit to your division dean. If enhancements/improvements are identified that require ADDITIONAL resources through the Instructional Planning and Budgeting process, then complete Section IV. (see next page).

Annual Program Review Update Form - Spring 2010

IV. Resource Requests: (Use this section ONLY if you have a NEW resource request)

| Program/Department: | History | |
|--|---|---|
| Please submit your top three | (or less) choices below in ranked order: | Cost estimate |
| Item Name: Smart class re | pom | 13,000 |
| Item Name: | | |
| Item Name: | | |
| What SLO Assessment findings, if any, support and guide the resource request? | | |
| How will the resource allocation specifically enhance your program's services, activities, processes, etc. to improve student learning and achievement? | I use a smart classroom to use the internet to locate and use documents on almost a daily basis. Students find this useful f history. This kind of hands on analysis would be very helpful f Most do not have access to smart classrooms and they shoul | for their study of for all history faculty. |
| How will the resource enhance your program with respect to the College mission or Strategic initiatives and/or your program's goals for improvement as stated in your last program review? | | |
| Other information that may be important to support your request? | | |
| If applicable, please describe why you do not have enough funding within your current budget allocation for this request. | | |