

## **LinC Research**

#### Site visit with Marybeth Mason and Sally Murphy

#### Feedback on Retention and Success Assessment

#### Discussion of other approaches



Review research approach

Discuss methodology

•Highlight findings

•List ideas for future analyses

## **Data Collection**

- Initial data collection
  - •Data thru fall 2002

•Plan to replicate each summer for previous year

### **Initial Research**

- Established a baseline of information
- Did not attempt to account for
  differences in classroom teaching
  grading
  - student motivation

## **Research Question**

•Assess an important goal of the program:

•<u>To improve student learning</u> by highlighting the connections between disciplines and interesting topics, thereby strengthening students' intellectual curiosity and experiences.

## **Research Approach**

•Use grades as a proxy measure of student learning

•Should expect higher course success rates for Learning Communities students, on average, than those in comparable non-Learning Community courses, *ceteris paribus* 

# Methodology

•Student grades in learning community sections were compared with nonlearning community sections of the same or related courses for that term

•Course to course comparison not always available

Did not control for different class sizes

# **Methodology** Continued

•Grades grouped as:

- •Success A, B, C, or P
- •Withdrawal W
- •Non-Success D, F, NP, I

•The grade percents were based on all the grades for the section, including W's

### **Course Comparisons**

Spring 2002

- GU The Good, The Bad and The Ugly
- GU The Good, The Bad and The Ugly
- L1 LART 100
- L2 LART 200
- L2 LART 200
- L2 LART 200
- L2 LART 200
- SF Society: Go Figure!
- SF Society: Go Figure!
- WC Whose Country Is This Anyway?
- WC Whose Country Is This Anyway?

EWRT001A13D HIST017A03D EWRT100BXXX LART100.01D LART100.02D LART100.61D READ100.XXX EWRT100AXXX LART200.01D LART200.02D READ201.XXX MATH105.12D SOC 001.03D ESL 005.01D HIST017A02D

### **Level of Analysis**

Whose Country Is This Anyway?					
2002F	<u>LinC</u>	ESL 005.01D			
		HIST017A01D			
	Non-LinC	ESL 005.REL			
		HIST017AREL			

	Success		Non-Success		Wit	Withdrew		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	\$ %	
<u>LinC</u>	236	84%	23	8%	23	8%	28	2 100%	
Non-LinC	3,288	66%	819	16%	869	17%	4,97	6 100%	

# **Summary of Findings**

- •In a typical quarter
  - more than 200 students
  - •10 to 15 LinC sections
  - •five or six "Learning Communities"

1,401 students have participated in LinC courses over the last four years

## **Findings Continued**

•Overall, the course success rates were higher for LinC than similar courses

•Course success rates for the program have generally increased since the program started in 1998

•LinC course success rates appear to vary by curriculum content

#### Success Rates for LinC and Similar Courses De Anza College, 1998-2002



#### Success Rates of LinC and Similar Non-LinC Courses by Term, De Anza College, 1998-2002



De Anza Research, May 19, 2003

De Anza College, 1998-2002		
	Success Rate (%)	
Course	LinC Courses	Similar Courses
Comics Speak Our Lives	76	78
Popular Culture and Social Change	77	76
Experiences and Expressions	79	85
The Good, The Bad and The Ugly	63	80
Tired of the Same Olde English?	69	78
LART 100 *	85	78
LART 200 *	78	75
Looking In, Speaking Out!	91	90
Something Really Different	72	54
Our Times and Our Lives	91	79
Power and Voice	81	75
Society: Go Figure!	68	63
Sex and Success	87	80
Whose Country Is This Anyway?	84	66



#### De Anza Learning Communities Winter to Spring Term Rentention LC and Related Courses

		Winter HC	Returned for Spring	Percent Return
1998W	LC COURSES	21	18	86%
	RELATED COURSES	894	765	86%
1999W	LC COURSES	24	21	88%
	RELATED COURSES	498	418	84%
2001W	LC COURSES	167	148	89%
	RELATED COURSES	2820	2410	85%
2002W	LC COURSES	162	143	88%
	RELATED COURSES	3167	2709	86%
Total	LC COURSES	373	329	88%
	RELATED COURSES	6933	5867	85%

# **Future Analyses**

•Combine information on course success with classroom assessment data and other survey information

 Additional variables such as previous language skills, success in math and English gateway courses, and economic need will also be considered

## Future Analyses Continued

•Future analysis will also look at college persistence rates (an examination for one following term showed slightly higher rates for LinC students)

•Other areas of research will focus on taking into account student and faculty self-selection bias

### **Statistical Tests**

 Inferential statistical tests can also be used

- •Chi Square
- •T Test



#### •Approaches used elsewhere?

•Other ways to measure success?

De Anza Research, May 19, 2003