



## Student Learning Outcomes for CDI 73A

*Pro/ENGINEER Wildfire (Pro/SHEETMETAL) - No Longer Offered*

### Team Members:

**Team Leader:**

[Louis Gary Lamit](#) (8627) in CDI

**Additional team members/notes about team:**

**Additional Notes:**

Other members:

1. [Max Gilleland](#) (x5578) CDI
2. [James Gee](#) (x) CDI

### Outcomes:

**Outcome 1 Phase I: Statement**

**Outcome 1 Phase II: Assessment Strategy Used:**

Assessment Quarter: Winter 2011

Assessors: James Gee

Assessment Tools: ••

Sections being assessed: 61, Z

**Outcome 1 Phase III: Reflect & Enhance**

**Number of people involved in Phase III:** 21

**Changes:**

n/a

**Methods:**

CDI 73 from winter 2011 Outcome 1: Assessment Planning [edit] Assessment Strategy Used: Quarter: Winter 2011 Assessors: Louis Gary Lamit and James Gee Assessment Tools: Portfolios • Logs Sections being assessed: 73L and 73Z Outcome 1: Reflect & Enhance [edit] Number of people involved in Phase III: 19 Methods: Assessment Tools: \*19 exercises and skill exercises in the book. \*6 miscellaneous exercises to test modeling skills. \*1

quiz.\*Final Student Documentation Portfolio Methods:Catalyst Course Management System was used to issue, receive, & grade assignments throughout the quarter.\*Reading assignments covering the current text are the foundation of the course content with 19 exercises from the text book.\*6 miscellaneous part modeling exercises that demonstrate key software functions and abilities.\*1 quiz.\*Final Student Documentation Portfolio used to collate and document all course work.

**Findings and Conclusions:**

Over 57% of the students in this section completed all of the work, with about 63% excellence (completion of 90+% of all assigned course work). The general trend for the Sheetmetal class was that if the student did the work and listen to the lectures and put a little bit of time and effort in; these are the students that did very well in the class. Another general trend was that the typical students would excel at the work s/he attempted. Work assigned early in the quarter is usually done well, leaving work assigned later in the quarter incomplete in some cases. In general, the student who takes the instructors advice to budget 8 hours/week of study devoted to this particular course does very well, while the student who spent less time on the course work doesn't do as well. Activity Report: 12 students with 90% or higher. 1 student with 77% 1 student with 58% 1 students with 46% or lower 3 incomplete 1 no attempt at completing any course work

**Enhancement (Planned Actions)****Part I:**

Enhancement (Part I): Add recorded lectures for each chapter, covering subtle changes or other details not directly or clearly discussed in the text. Recorded lectures will also have the quality of updating the student and the course content with respect to software upgrades which occur during the school year. Most of the video lectures and course material need to be reevaluated each time this course is taught. With that said some of the basic models and stand-alone projects can be reused. In a future class we may try and increase the number of hand out exercises and throw in a midterm evaluation with the short answer online test and a quick modeling component.

**Part II:**

n/a

---

SLO Created: 05/03/2010 Last Modified: 06/04/2011