

## COLLEGE COUNCIL MEETING Thursday, December 14, 2006

Admin CR #109, 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Members: B. Baldwin, N. Canter, C, Castillo, W. Chenoweth, R. Covington, C. Espinosa-Pieb, R. Griffin, J. Hawk, L. Hearn, L. Jenkins, A. Khanna, A. LaManque, A. Lau, F. Milonas, J. Miner, B. Murphy, O. Patlan, M. Spatafore, Y. Ramirez, T. Suen, M. Winters

Guest: Sarah Ratner

### I. The College Council Minutes of November 9, 2006 were approved by consensus

## **II.** Lottery Augmentation

Handout #1

C. Espinosa-Pieb reviewed the allocation list of remaining Prop 20 Lottery Dollars (ongoing) for this year approved by the Campus Budget Committee. The funds were divided between divisions per the state criteria. The allocations will allow divisions to buy needed supplies. The list was approved by consensus.

# III. Approve Strategic Themes Allocation for Round 1 Strategic Planning 06-07

Handout #2

B. Murphy explained how A. LaManque had clustered all the SP initiatives. Senior staff reviewed and agreed to propose funding of nine areas including: Outreach, Instructional Advising, Cultural Competence Program, Student Success Technology, Community Engagement, Curriculum Development, Staff Development, Campus Communications and Facilities. Included in the handout are proposals for spending this year. In February planning will begin for the following three years. L. Hearn reported that the Academic Senate Sub Committee expressed concern about moving forward with the proposal. She will continue the discussion with the Senate. O. Patlan commented that the Classified Senate was in favor of this year's proposed spending plan.

L. Hearn (as a member of the Campus Budget Committee) explained that the CBC approved the figures with the understanding that it represent a first pass at allocating funds. CBC has asked CC to review the proposal and provide them with their feedback. The PBTs will review the list in January and the funds will be transferred to the appropriate VP accounts and made available to the divisions as soon as possible after PBTs have met. The PBTs will review a detailed list of allocations and report back to the CC. A. LaManque will work on ways to track outcomes using assessment tools. A long-term plan is needed for future distribution of funds. Suggestions - use the metrics provided by the Strategic Planning Initiatives Teams; ask people given the funds to provide ideas for assessing their area. O. Patlan suggested making sure there is good communication throughout the campus of regarding what happens to the funds. CC agreed to moved forward with the Allocation Mechanisms as discussed.

### IV. Shared Governance Web Site Update

W. Chenoweth provided a power point presentation of his proposal to move communication consistently throughout the campus so people have access to files and documents before meetings and informative decisions can be made based on facts and governance groups would each have a web page. B. Murphy requested that Chenoweth work closely with M. Spatafore on the implementation. CC approved the proposal.

# V. Burning Issues, Quick News & Wrap Up

- Academic Senate Sub Committee does not agree with the Strategic Planning groupings and thinks we should continue with the TI's.
- Concern that the work done will be lost.
- Need more dialogue about whether to return to the TI's.
- Confusion about the priorities being changed.
- B. Murphy proposed a meeting with Academic Senate to discuss the confusion around the proposals on Tuesday, December 19<sup>th</sup>.
- C. Epinosa-Pieb acknowledged M. Spatafore for publication of the Campus Memo recently sent to all faculty and staff.
- R. Griffin introduced Sarah Ratner, De Anza College Financial Aid Assistant, who is pursuing a Master's in Higher Education at San Jose State.