

Members: N. Canter, C. Castillo, W. Chenoweth, N. Cole, R. Govington, C. Espinosa Pieb, R. Griffin, J. Hawk, L. Hearn, A. Khanna, A. LaManque, S. Larson, A. Lau, F. Milonas, J. Miner, B. Murphy, O. Patlan, M. Spatafore, Y. Ramirez, D. Shannakian, T. Suen, M. Winters

I. The College Council Minutes of April 26, 2007 were approved.Handout #1College Council minutes of March 22, 2007 were approved by consensus.

#### II. Major Capital Construction Review

Handout #2

Hawk provided an overview of the Measure C program schedule for review and approval by college council (the schedule was previously approved by the Facilities Committee). It was pointed out that the schedule of projects are interdependent and based on the capacity of the campus to handle multiple projects.

Funding of building projects may be influenced by the appellate action of October 2006 and the schedule may shift up to one year depending on the outcome. As a result, bridge funds are being used for limited projects, including the Forum renovation, vehicular signage and campus lighting.

Discussion followed regarding the wireless project which is scheduled to be complete by end 2008. A beta test is currently taking place in the library but COLEA legislation may prolong project completion in the renovated campus center. As a contingency, we are exploring MetroFi an outside service for the campus center.

The VTA transit center was also discussed with regards to eco passes, routes, and benefits to De Anza College Students. The goal is to get the VTA transit center onto the DASB ballot to offset fees and costs.

This item was approved by college council by consensus.

Measure C: E1 Auto Tech Revised Project Budget (*Handout at meeting*) Hawk provided an explanation of the proposed budget adjustment to cover the costs of relocating the faculty office for ADA renovations. The project budget adjustment is \$260,000 and the item was approved by College Council.

**III. De Anza College Information Technology Strategic Plan 2006-09** Handout #3 This document has been approved by the Classified and Academic Senate with very little feedback. Discussion followed regarding this group, shared governance and charge. It is more of an advisory group on technical issues, not allocation. Examples include course management systems and web content management.

Next steps: Chenoweth to be in touch with Tech Task Force members to determine if instructional deans have had an opportunity to review this plan. It was decided to postpone approval until the June 14 College Council meeting.

College Council – Draft Minutes 5/24/06 Page Two

# IV. Technology Task Force Vision

College Council members discussed the task force vision of coordinating policy across campus on technology-related issues. Questions arose regarding principles and charge activities. Two areas may require modifications, (1) allocation charge and (2) fundamental principles of efficiency and efficacy.

Next steps: Canter to forward ideas to Hawk and Chenoweth.

# V. Tech Task Force Email Resolution

The Tech Task Force proposed the following language:

"The College will provide information to all faculty and staff via district email accounts by the beginning of Fall 2008. It is a professional expectation that faculty and staff collect information via this communication channel.

This statement was approved by College Council.

### VI. Burning Issues, Quick News and Wrap Up

- Murphy provided an update regarding the baseball team. Training workshops by NCAS have begun on violence prevention, alcohol and drug education which will also be included in the PE department curriculua. Castillo raised the question of creating a "heroes" fund for the three female athletes.

#### Handout #4