GENERAL INFORMATION

PROGRAM NAME: (Double-click in the green box to enter information)

Evaluations (Admissions and Records)

NAME: Name of person or persons that completed this APRU form.

Kent McGee Graduation and Evaluation Coordinator, Kathleen Moberg, Dean

I. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A. What are the primary support purposes of this program? (Choose (x) all that apply)

	Basic Skills		Access		Learning Resources
X	Degree		Success	X	Academic Services
X	Transfer		Retention		Personal Enrichment
	Career/Technical	x	Persistence		Student Cohort
	Other (Explain)	Support of other	Stu Svcs - Financ	ial Aid, Counse	ling, EOPS.

B. What is the **Mission Statement** for this program?

The Evaluators area is part of A&R. Admissions Mission Statement:

The De Anza Admissions and Records office mission is to provide comprehensive, client sensitive, and technologically innovative and appropriate services that support student retention and success for our approximately 24,000 diverse students and staff.

C. How many students does this program serve? (Approx. annually unduplicated)

In the past year, we processed over 1500 degree applications and awarded 1200+ degrees. We processed just 450 certificate applications and awarded a little over 400 certificates. We verified and processed over 1400 GE certifications for transfer students. We processed and evaluated over 2400 transcripts that were generated from both evaluation and prerequisite clearance requests.

D. Identify and describe (briefly) this program's relationships and colaborations with other college programs:

Provide support for academic advising via Counseling Department, work in conjunction with Assessment for prerequisite clearance through transcript evaluation. Collaborate with Articulation regarding transfer, such as SB 1440 requirements, Curriculum, Financial Aid and Instructional areas.

IIA. PROGRAM SERVICES

Click on the "List of Services" tab at the bottom of this sheet.

IIB. SERVICE DESIGNATIONS

Click on the "Service Designations" tab at the bottom of this sheet.

III. OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT - INSTRUCTION

(Skip Section III and go to **Section IV** if there is no curriculum offered in this program)

- **A**. Which SLO statements did you assess in 2011-12?
- **B.** What did you learn? Briefly summarize the results of the reflection and enhancement discussions.
- **C.** What additional resources are needed to implement the enhancement/improvements plans? (Please give a very brief overview details will be asked for in Section VI)
- **D**. What are your **SLOAC** plans for 2012-13?

IV. OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT - SERVICES

A. Which SSLO statements did you assess in 2011-12?

Surveyed graduating students to determine their understanding of degree application process. Also surveyed Financial Aid students who had used Degree Works as part of their extension appeal process.

B. What did you learn? Briefly summarize the results of the reflection and enhancement discussions.

The survey enforced what we suspected which is that providing more information regarding the process of applying for a degree is needed. We are informing students during the Spring Confirmation of Enrollment email with a reminder about the pertinent dates and the process of applying for a degree. We also have graduation information posted online. For the second SSLO - additional ways of improving communication and training to those using Degree Works.

- **C.** What additional resources are needed to implement the enhancement/improvements plans? (Please give a very brief overview details will be asked for in Section VI)
- **D**. What are your **SSLOAC** plans for 2012-13?

Determine student's comprehension and use of DegreeWorks auditing system towards their academic goal. Follow up survey to all students.

V. CURRENT TRENDS/CHALLENGES

2011-12

SSPBT Annual Program Review Update

A. What does the near future portend for this program?

Increased workload related to DegreeWorks degree auditing system rollout and use of online educational planner. Also changes in accountability and transfer coming from the state. Reduced staffing.

B. What are the challenges for this program?

Finding necessary resources to respond to increased workload and projected staff reductions.

C. What are the opportunities for this program?

Assist in responding to Student Success Task Force initiatives. Identify and provide outreach to students who have successfully completed degrees or certificates. Work with IR to efficiently report awards.

D. Does this program anticipate rapid change, slow change, no change, or other?

Rapid change due to DegreeWorks implementation, additional pressures from the state regarding accountability and transfer/graduation rates greater demand for transfer credit review from "swirling" students closed out of repeats at other colleges.

- **E.** Are there any amendments to this program's 2008-09 Comprehensive Program Review? (CPR) Yes, staff reductions and additional technology applications.
- **F**. Explain what changes or revisions you have made, if any, to your services based on results of last year's program review update (2010-11).

We are providing more training to Counseling and other support services for Degree Works, doing more testing and debgugging of the technology, and working with other colleges and IT to enhance technology to reduce workload.

G. Explain anything that should be known about this program that hasn't been asked.

The evaluations department provides critical support for students to achieve their goals whether it is for transfer or the completion of a De Anza program. By utilizing our degree auditing system the student is provided accurate academic information presenting a clear path towards their goal, in many cases eliminating confusion which might lead towards taking unnecessary courses. In the past year, we processed over 1500 degree applications and awarded 1200+ degrees. We processed just 450 certificate applications and awarded a little over 400 certificates. We verified and processed over 1400 GE certifications for transfer students. We processed and evaluated over 2400 transcripts that were generated from both evaluation and prerequisite clearance requests.

VI. RESOURCE REQUESTS

A. <u>Personnel Requests</u>: Please submit the **top three** personnel requests in ranked order: (If there are more than three personnel requests, maintain a separate prioritized list using the same justification categories as in the APRU. If resources are available the SSPBT may ask for more items to be submitted.)

<pre>Program Position Priority #1:(Check (x) appropriate boxes)</pre>				
Faculty	Staff	X	Administration	
Full-Time X	Part-Time		Est. Cost:	\$65,000
Priority #1 position name:				
Evaluations Specialist				
Brief description: (new or re	eplacement from	retirement or resig	gnation)	
Currently vacant, and plann this position or restore it in			reductions. W	ould like to keep
	Rationale: How will this person enhance or maintain your program's plans to improve outcomes? What specific SLOAC/SSLOAC results support this program's need for this position?)			
Maintain as it is a current po	osition.			
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether this request is considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one)				
XX Critical		Important		Nice to have
Program Position Priority Faculty	/ #2:(Check (x) app		Administration	
Full-Time X	Part-Time		Est. Cost:	\$65,000
Priority #2 position name:				
Sr. Degree Audit Specialist				
Brief description:(new or replacement from retirement or resignation)				
This is a current position that may be eliminated as part of budget reductions.				
Rationale: How will this person enhance or maintain your program's plans to improve outcomes? What specific SLOAC/SSLOAC results support this program's need for this position?)				
Maintain - loss of this position will result in reduction of services.				
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether this request is considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one)				
Critical	XX	Important		Nice to have
<pre>Program Position Priority #3:(Check (x) appropriate boxes)</pre>				
Faculty	Staff		Administration	

Full-Time	Part-Time	Est. Cost:	
Priority #3 position name:			
Brief description:(new or re	placement from retiremen	t or resignation)	
		your program's plans to improve ort this program's need for this posit	tion?)
D 1 11 1 611;			
"Critical", "Important", or '		er this request is considered to be one)	
Critical	Importa	ant Nice to have	/e
order: (If there are more than th	ree equipment requests, maintair	e program equipment requests in rain a separate prioritized list using the same SSPBT may ask for more items to be submitted	
Program Equipment Prio	<u>rity #1</u> :		
Est. Cost: 50-100K]		
Priority #1 item name:			
Software that uploads/scan	s transfer credit and popul	ates Banner transfer forms.	
Brief description:(new, upg	rade, or replacement)		
	portant both as a stop-gap	Vith reduced staffing, the need to do for no one to do it manually, and to delays in transcript review.	
Rationale: How will this re specific SLOAC/SSLOAC res	· -	am's plans to improve outcomes? When the company is need for this item?	nat
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether this request is considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one)			
Critical	XX Importa	ant Nice to have	⁄e
Program Equipment Prio	rity #2:		
Est. Cost:]		
Priority #2 item name:			
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

Brief description:(new, upgrade, or replacement)

	source enhance this program's pla cults support the program's need f	•
	program, check (x) whether this r "Nice to have". (Check only one)	request is considered to be
Critical	Important	Nice to have
Program Equipment Prio	<u>rity #3</u> :]	
Priority #3 item name:		
Brief description:(new, upg	rade or replacement)	
brief description.(flew, upg	raue, or replacement)	
	source enhance this program's pla cults support the program's need f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	program, check (x) whether this r "Nice to have". (Check only one)	request is considered to be
Critical	Important	Nice to have
C Encility Poquests: Plea	se submit the top three facilities r	recourse requests in ranked
order:(If there are more than thr	ree facilities requests, maintain a separate purces are available the SSPBT may ask for m	prioritized list using the same justification
Program Facilities Priorit	<u>ty #1</u> :	
Est. Cost:		
Priority #1 project name:		

Rationale: How will this resource enhance this program's plans to improve outcomes? What specific SLOAC/SSLOAC results support the program's need for this item?

Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether this request is considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one)				
Critical		Important		Nice to have
Program Facilities Priorit	ty #2:			
Est. Cost:]			
Priority #2 project name:				
Brief description:(new, rem	odel, relocation)			
Rationale: How will this re specific SLOAC/SSLOAC res			•	utcomes? What
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether this request is considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one)				ered to be
Critical		Important		Nice to have
Program Facilities Priority #3: Est. Cost:				
Priority #3 project name:				
Brief description:(new, rem	odel, relocation)			
-				2.44
Rationale: How will this re specific SLOAC/SSLOAC res			•	utcomes? wnat
Based on the needs of this "Critical", "Important", or '			quest is consid	ered to be
Critical		Important		Nice to have
D. Professional Growth Research/Innovations in the	additional funding ware), the discipli	ng. Include wheth ne, legal matters,	er the needs ar District/College	re related to e operations,
Degree Works Summit and	other related cor	nferences		
\$3,000 Est cost of #1	\$1,000	Est cost of #2		Est cost of #3

2011-12

SSPBT Annual Program Review Update

Rationale: How will each professional growth initiative resource enhance this program's plans to improve outcomes? What specific SLOAC/SSLOAC results support the program's need for each item?

Conference and travel fees related to degree audit, transfer, etc.				
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether each of the top three requests are considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one per request)				
Professional Growth Init	iative request #1:			
X Critical	Important	Nice to have		
Professional Growth Init	iative request #2:			
Critical	X Important	Nice to have		
Professional Growth Initiative request #3:				
Critical	Important	Nice to have		
E. Operating Resource Requests ('B' augmentations): In the space below identify any additional operational funding needs. (List in ranked order)				
Est cost of #1	Est cost of #2	Est cost of #3		
Rationale: How will each additional operational resource enhance this program's plans to improve outcomes? What specific SLOAC/SSLOAC results support the program's need for each item?				
Based on the needs of this program, check (x) whether each of the top three requests are considered to be "Critical", "Important", or "Nice to have". (Check only one per request)				
Operational budget requ	est #1:			
Critical	Important	Nice to have		
Operational budget request #2:				
Critical	Important	Nice to have		
Operational Budget request #3:				
Critical	Important	Nice to have		