

### **Dept - (CE) Short Courses**

| Student Services Learning |
|---------------------------|
| Outcomes (SSLOs)          |

CE/EXYR\_SSLO\_1 - The Extended Year Survey - First assessment cycle Program for Kids and Teens will improve communication and transparency with parents.

**SSLO Status:** Active

Year(s) to be Assessed: 2016-2017,

2017-2018

Outcome Creation Date: 12/20/2016

### Assessment Methods

conducted in July 2016. Assessments will be repeated in July

2017 and July 2018 **Target for Success:** 90% satisfied or

extremely satisfied for communication with both program administrators and teachers.

Comments/Notes: July 2016 marked the first parent-satisfaction survey distributed in the program's history.

**Related Documents:** 

EY16 parent responses export.xls

### Assessment Data Summaries

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2015-2016** 

Target: Target Not Met

80.2% satisfied or extremely satisfied with program and

teacher communication. (12/20/2016)

Reflection (CLICK ON? FOR INSTRUCTIONS): In the past, communication with parents of Extended Year students was limited to registration information on the administrative side and limited to a single green sheet on the teacher side. Parents' feel that this is insufficient. The new Dean of Community Education agrees with parents' general assessment.

**Related Documents:** 

EY16 parent responses export.xls

#### **Enhancements**

**Enhancement:** Extended Year teachers will be distribute weekly memos to parents. (06/12/2017)

**Enhancement:** Program administrators will host bimonthly coffee talks for parents during program dates. (06/12/2017)

CE/EXYR\_SSLO\_2 - The Extended Year Portfolio Review - Independent Program curriculum will align with Common Core methods and expectations.

**SSLO Status:** Active

Year(s) to be Assessed: 2016-2017,

2017-2018

**Outcome Creation Date:** 12/20/2016

contractors and the Dean of Community Education will assess course outlines and methods for Common Core alignment annually. Target for Success: 90% of courses that have regular-year equivalents

will meet Common Core

expectations.

**Comments/Notes:** Community Education will solicit a review panel of qualified grade-level experts and curriculum coaches to assess content and methods. Recommendations for improved

04/01/2020 Generated by Nuventive Improve Page 1 of 10 Student Services Learning Outcomes (SSLOs)

### Assessment Methods

#### Assessment Data Summaries

**Enhancements** 

alignment will be incorporated annually.

**Survey - Student perception surveys** distributed to students annually at the conclusion of their courses.

Target for Success: 2012-2016 survey is only moderately helpful: "did this class meet your expectations?"

Future surveys will be revised: "D you feel that this class will improve your success during the upcoming regular year?" - target: 80%, yes responses.

**Comments/Notes:** Common Core shifts over the last two years require us to adjust survey questions as a result. 2017 and 2018 surveys will be used for assessment here. 2012-2016 surveys are included for the sake of comparison.

**Program Review Reporting Year:** 2015-2016

Target: Target Not Met

inconclusive - survey questions are not yet aligned with

program goals. (12/20/2016)

Reflection (CLICK ON ? FOR INSTRUCTIONS): The new Dean of Community Education has evaluated existing survey methods as insufficient for assessing program goals and effectiveness from the student perspective. Existing surveys focus almost entirely on teachers' delivery rather than on content and/or student growth. Comments above identify one of several shifts to the tool for 2017 and 2018. **Related Documents:** 

EY ClassEvaluationSummaryInfo 20161220-1.pdf

**Enhancement:** Community Education will implement revised survey tool. (07/03/2017)

CE/Short\_SSLO\_1 - At the conclusion of each course they are enrolled, students will report their satisfaction, select instances, we will solicit based on the following criteria: instructor knowledge, preparation/organization, utilization of class time, response to questions.

SSLO Status: Active Year(s) to be Assessed: 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015,

2015-2016

Outcome Creation Date: 09/01/2011

Survey - Students will complete surveys at the end of every class. In students for an email survey and/or additional comments and feedback. Target for Success: Surveys will be reviewed by staff. Nearly 70% of

class comments must be in the Good/Excellent range.

**Comments/Notes:** Initial data will be kept in binder in CE Office. We will work to compile information in our registration management system.

#### **Related Documents:**

SC ClassEvaluationSummaryInfo 20 161220.pdf

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2015-2016** 

**Target:** Target Met

96.29% of respondents evaluated Short Course experiences in the Good/Excellent range (12/20/2016)

Reflection (CLICK ON? FOR INSTRUCTIONS): The

department met in the 2011-2012 year to review and reflect on assessment data. Since then, surveys have continued to be logged, but not assessed by the team. The new Dean of Community Education started June 1, 2016. Beginning in the 2017 calendar year, Community Education teams will meet biannually to review data and reflect on progress. New assessment mechanisms are also necessary.

**Related Documents:** 

SC ClassEvaluationSummaryInfo 20161220.pdf

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2011-2012** 

Target: Target Met

Student evaluations were distributed to students at end of

**Enhancement:** As a department, we must improve survey collection methods and

#### Assessment Data Summaries

every class. Initial data collected is a random sampling of evaluations over the course of 2011-12 academic year. (05/18/2012)

Reflection (CLICK ON? FOR INSTRUCTIONS): Student evaluations were generally positive based on the four key areas listed in the SSLO. In addition to periodic instructor observations, student feedback helps us make an informed decision on the quality of a class.

### **Enhancements**

mechanisms for 2017. Existing mechanisms do not allow review of data in aggregate, but only on a per-class basis. The team will rewrite these surveys to better assess not only student satisfaction levels but content. Surveys must be differentiated by both grade level and course type in 2017. (12/20/2016)

**Enhancement:** As a division, we'll continue to pursue data collection methods that yield more informative student data and allow staff to track this information more effectively. (05/17/2013)



## **Dept - Office of Communications**

| Administrative Unit<br>Outcomes (AUOs)   | Assessment Methods  | Assessment Data Summaries | Enhancements |
|--|---|---------------------------|--------------|
| AUO_OC_PLO1 - Faculty, staff and administrators will know to submit an online project request form to assist in managing and priortorizing the services of the office.  AUO Status: Active Year(s) to be Assessed: 2015-2016 Outcome Creation Date: 01/13/2016 | Focus Group - Staff meeting to perform swat analisis Target for Success: 95% of requests will come from the submitted forms. 90% of these requests will need no or minimal follow-up to manage request. |                           |              |



## **Dept AS - Catalog/Curriculum Office**

| Outcomes (AUOs)                      |
|--------------------------------------|
| Catalog_AUO_1 - Faculty knows the    |
| college's curriculum submission      |
| process through correctly completing |
| all necessary paperwork and using    |
| ECMS to create and/or a revise a     |

Administrative Unit

**AUO Status:** Active

**Outcome Creation Date:** 11/05/2010

course, and submitting their courses

by the curriculum deadline.

### Assessment Methods

Other - Assessment Method: The
Curriculum Office used the
production of the 2010-11 printed
catalog for assessment. We
assessed the number of courses that
were submitted by the curriculum
catalog deadline for inclusion in the
printed 2010-11 catalog, and how
much time the Curriculum Office
staff spent via phone/e-mails/faceto-face answering curriculum
questions, issues, and ECMS
technical problems.

Target for Success: 80% Comments/Notes: Assessment Dates: October 2009 through June 10, 2010 Assessment Data Summaries

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2012-2013** 

Target: Target Met

AUO statement #1 was met, 94% rate of success.

A total of 498 courses that were due for 5-year revision for the 2010-11 printed catalog, and out of the 498 courses, 29 courses missed the curriculum deadline. The Curriculum Office staff spent a total of 485 hours answering curriculum questions, and addressing ECMS question and technical issues. A big portion of the 485 hours were spent by the staff following up on why courses were not submitted for review, obtaining updates from initiators on status of the course paperwork or requirements by the curriculum committee, such as signatures and course justification.

Assessment Dates: October 2009 through June 10, 2010. (08/14/2012)

**Reflection (CLICK ON ? FOR INSTRUCTIONS):** The results indicate that the catalog/curriculum office and processes are functioning well.

Catalog\_AUO\_2 - Students able to find accurate course information in

**AUO Status:** Active

the course catalog.

Outcome Creation Date: 11/05/2010

Other - Assessment Method: The course catalog information is entered and posted in many locations: De Anza searchable catalog, Internet Native Banner, and Banner Self Service. Catalog data accuracy can be assessed by the number of questions the office receives to clarify catalog

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2012-2013** 

Target: Target Met

We received less than 10 emails/phones seeking clarifications of catalog contents.

Assessment Date: September 2011 through June 2012

(08/14/2012)

**Reflection (CLICK ON ? FOR INSTRUCTIONS):** We received less than 10 emails/phones seeking clarifications of catalog contents. This meant that the course information in the

### **Enhancements**

**Enhancement:** No significant improvements are planned at this

time. (10/16/2012)

**Enhancement:** No significant improvements are planned at this time. (10/16/2012)

information.

catalog is accurate and clear.

**Target for Success: 80%** Comments/Notes: There was no assessment done for AUO statement #2 due to time constraint. Since course catalog and scheduling went live with Banner in summer 2010, a big portion of the Curriculum Office?s time was spent verifying course catalog data in Banner, as well as determining proper enforcement method for course prerequisites, co-requisites, number changes, and repeatability and their impact to student registration. A significant amount of hours were also spent providing data to the state and auditor.



**Enhancements** 

## **Dept AS - Scheduling Office**

| Administrative Unit |
|---------------------|
| Outcomes (AUOs)     |

**Sched\_AUO\_1** - Produce the quarterly class schedule on time and accurately.

**AUO Status:** Active

Year(s) to be Assessed: 2010-2011,

2012-2013, 2014-2015

**Outcome Creation Date:** 11/05/2010

### Assessment Methods

Other - The Scheduling Office used the production of the Summer 2011 class schedule for assessment. The summer 2011 class schedule must be posted to the web and released to students and the public on June 6, 2011, AUO statement#1 was assessed by whether or not the Scheduling Office met the deadline and how much time was spent correcting scheduling data inputted by the divisions prior to the schedule release.

**Target for Success: 100%** 

### Assessment Data Summaries

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2012-2013** 

Target: Target Met

100% success. The Scheduling Office met the scheduling release deadline, and 200 total hours were spent correcting scheduling data before the release. The Scheduling Office staff spent a large portion of the 200 hours fixing the following information: load, room conflicts, incorrect class hours, etc.

Assessment Date: April 1, 2011 through July 15, 2011. (08/14/2012)

**Reflection (CLICK ON ? FOR INSTRUCTIONS):** Results indicate that the scheduling office is functioning well.

#### Sched\_AUO\_2 - Division

deans/schedulers should know how to use the various scheduling class scient technological systems: SIS, Banner, Footnote system, etc., and know how to build the quarterly class schedule accurately through understanding of the college course catalog, Title 5, academic programs at the college (LINC, Honors, Civic Engagement, Sankofa, FYE, etc), and college/district policies (concurrent enrollment, FA contract, disability, etc).

Other - The Scheduling Office used the production of the Summer 2011 class schedule for assessment; we assessed AUO statement #2 by the number of MSI submitted by the divisions to modify the summer 2011 class information, and how much time the Scheduling Office spent answering and resolving questions and issues concerning summer 2011 classes

Target for Success: 80%

**Program Review Reporting Year: 2012-2013** 

Target: Target Not Met

Results did not meet the 80% target. Assist division schedulers and deans don't seem to have a good understanding of the Banner system, and don't know how to schedule classes that are in compliant with Title 5.

The Scheduling Office received 310 MSI forms to change and modify the summer 2011 classes. 227 additional hours were spent providing answers and clarification to divisions on what can or cannot be changed in Banner, room availabilities, troubleshooting technical issues (registration, prerequisites, load, reports, etc), and making sure classes are scheduled in compliance with Title 5. Another 540

**Enhancement:** We need to work with the Staff Development Office to set up trainings for the schedulers (10/16/2012)

| Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs) | Assessment Methods | Assessment Data Summaries                                   | Enhancements |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------|
| AUO Status: Active                  |                    | hours were spent fixing class data to address the 320 state |              |
| Outcome Creation Date: 11/05/2010   |                    | reports.  |              |
| , ,                                 |                    | Assessment Dates: April 1, 2011 through July 15, 2011.      |              |
|                                     |                    | (08/14/2012)  |              |
|                                     |                    | Reflection (CLICK ON ? FOR INSTRUCTIONS): Need              |              |
|                                     |                    | improvement and development of an effective plan to         |              |
|                                     |                    | assist division schedulers and deans so that they better    |              |
|                                     |                    | understand Banner system, and know how to schedule          |              |
|                                     |                    | classes that are in compliant with Title 5.                 |              |



## PHASED OUT: Dept FCO - Technology Resource Group

| Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)  | Assessment Methods | Assessment Data Summaries | Enhancements |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| TRG_AUO_1 - Distance Learning students will perceive that the Distance Learning technologies used for their course are well supported, easy to access and are available when needed.  AUO Status: Active Outcome Creation Date: 11/05/2010                                     |                    |                           |              |
| TRG_AUO_2 - Students, faculty and the community will perceive and report that the De Anza website is well designed, easy to navigate and delivers important, up to date information.  AUO Status: Active Outcome Creation Date: 11/05/2010                                     |                    |                           |              |
| TRG_AUO_3 - Students and faculty will perceive that De Anza's customized multi-media classrooms and audio/video production facilities are well supported and are designed to meet the specialized needs of their course.  AUO Status: Active Outcome Creation Date: 11/05/2010 |                    |                           |              |
| TRG_AUO_4 - Students, faculty and the community will perceive that all of the above technologies and   |                    |                           |              |

services are created and delivered with consideration for all cultural and ethnic groups and will meet the needs of those with disabilities.

**AUO Status:** Active

**Outcome Creation Date:** 11/05/2010